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The ion line emission
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How can we explain the C IV (and other hot ion line) shapes?

- Non-accreting TTS have two component C IV lines (Ardila et al. 2013)
How can we explain the C IV (and other hot ion line) shapes?

- Pre-shock: freefall velocity
- Post-shock: turbulence, $<\frac{1}{4}$ freefall velocity
How can we explain the C IV (and other hot ion line) shapes?

- Splatter: turbulent, variable bulk < 100 km/s absorption
How can we explain the C IV (and other hot ion line) shapes?

- Heated photosphere: 20,000 K varies with accretion
Physical basis for my cartoon

My cartoon is more than a cartoon: While I don't have a full radiative transfer model now, the individual components are based on exiting data or published models, such as Lamzin, AR (2003).
Change in Lyα

Reconstructed Lyα profile

Herczeg et al. (2004)

Change in the Lyα during our observation (as seen from the molecular hydrogen)
All tracers are correlated.
What can we learn from the new data about accretion?

• All bands and lines are correlated (max time delay: ~hours) → All the action happens in < 0.05 AU.

• Ly$\alpha$ changes with a global scale factor (accretion powered).

• We might see an accretion blob moving (2 h = 5 R$_*$).
Winds

How hot is the wind from TW Hya?

Wind as absorption signatures

How hot is the wind from TW Hya?

Three arguments against a hot wind
- Continuum
- Molecular hydrogen
- Doublets

The doublet is not always 2:1.
There is no continuum absorption.
Molecular hydrogen is absorbed.

1-8 R(3) is close to C IV doublet and could be absorbed by red wing.

Systematics remain, but I believe that they are too small to explain the difference.
What can we learn from the new data about winds?

- C IV emission is optically thick → the geometry of the flow is important.
- The wind above the accretion spot has (most likely) no C IV.
- The wind above the H$_2$ emitting disk sometimes has C IV.
The more we observe, the more complexity we find.

Accretion and outflows are dynamic systems (and stationary / equilibrium models are insufficient).

Tracers are well correlated → small scales.

Wind is variable is hot and cold phases → multiple components.